Request for Qualifications (RFQ) For Professional Architectural Services The African American Library at the Gregory School

Submittal Date April 17, 2006

City of Houston
Building Services Department
Design & Construction Division

March 17, 2006 Page 1 of 9

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ) PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES THE AFRICAN AMERICAN LIBRARY AT THE GREGORY SCHOOL

I. PURPOSE

The City of Houston, Building Services Department (BSD), on behalf of the Houston Public Library (HPL), invites the submittal of Statements of Qualification (SOQs) from design firms interested in providing professional services, as follows:

Architectural/engineering team will provide all services necessary to assess and verify existing conditions; review and verify construction documents developed by another A/E team; design in accordance with general principles of the Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System™ of the US Green Building Council (USGBC); update specifications for furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E); provide computer-aided design and drafting (CADD) documentation; prepare bidding documents from existing 50% construction documents; complete already-initiated permitting services; estimate construction costs; provide value engineering; assist with bidding and award activities; arrange and conduct meetings; perform construction phase services, including construction management; and assist in the warranty review.

II. PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING

A pre-submittal meeting will be held at 1:30 p.m. on Tuesday, April 4, 2006, at City Hall Annex 900 Bagby, Second Floor Conference Room 246, to discuss this solicitation. Attendance at the meeting is not mandatory.

Before the pre-submittal meeting date, the City will welcome any written questions submitted, faxed or emailed to:

> Phil Golembiewski, P.E. City Engineer **Building Services Department** 900 Bagby, Second Floor Houston, Texas 77002

Fax: 713-437-6859

Philip.Golembiewski@cityofhouston.net

The City will not be bound by any information conveyed verbally. The City will provide, in writing, any clarifications, changes and/or other information, deemed to be necessary, as addenda to this RFQ. Addenda will only be provided to pre-submittal meeting attendees and known RFQ holders registered with BSD.

March 17, 2006 Page 2 of 9

III. OBJECTIVE

BSD proposes to retain a highly qualified architectural firm or joint venture to provide the services described herein. Architectural firms and team members with significant experience in planning and designing projects with similar characteristics will be given prime consideration for this project. Those firms or joint ventures that participate in this RFQ process will be referred to as "Respondents". "Respondent" and its subconsultants shall be referred to collectively as the "Team". The successful firm or joint venture will be referred to, in the RFQ, as the "Architect".

IV. SCOPE OF WORK

Project Name: The African American Library at the Gregory School

C.I.P. No. E-0144

GFS No. E-0144-01-2 Existing Location: 1103 Victor

Schedule: Design in FY07; Construction in FY07-FY08

HPL intends to design, construct, and equip an African American Library in the existing historic Gregory School structure. This project will involve review of existing 50% construction documents, site planning, architecture, engineering, landscape architecture, permitting, bid phase services, construction administration, and construction management.

The Architect will, as part of this project, design the project using general principles of the LEED Green Building Rating System™ of the US Green Building Council, but will not perform LEED commissioning and will not seek LEED certification. The total funding available for construction is approximately \$4,400,000.

A vision for the future of the facility is attached and titled *The African American Library at the Gregory School: A Project of the Houston Public Library.* Please note all components of the vision may not be included in the initial construction project due to funding limitations. Successful A/E team will work closely with the City, as well as an independent exhibit designer and an independent community outreach consultant (both by separate contract) to finalize construction documents in accordance with HPL's vision.

The following services will be required:

A. <u>Assessment of Existing Conditions.</u> Initially, the Architect will need to review any existing records (e.g. environmental assessments) or documents (e.g. Record Drawings, site surveys, etc.) that indicate existing conditions. These documents will need to be verified by on-site inspection of the premises. The extent of this effort shall be as in-depth and as extensive as is required and necessary to support the design effort.

March 17, 2006 Page 3 of 9

- B. <u>Planning.</u> Phase I services have already been completed by another A/E team. Phase II services involve the development of Contract Documents, starting with existing 50% Contract Documents. Contract Documents shall be suitable for the solicitation of competitive construction bids/proposals. All permit and other discrepancies must be resolved and changes incorporated into the Contract Documents before approval can be given by the City for the 100% submittal, and subsequent initiation of the bidding process.
- C. <u>Design and Construction Phase Services</u>. Professional architectural services will include, but are not limited to, construction drawings using CADD and, more specifically, the Uniform Drawing System (UDS) distributed by the Construction Specifications Institute (CSI) with layering standards developed by the American Institute of Architects (AIA); CSI-style specifications; commissioning procedures and documents; specifications for FF&E; bidding phase assistance; construction administration plus construction management services; and post-construction services which covers items, such as commissioning and warranties, for a period following the completion of construction.

V. REQUIRED QUALIFICATIONS AND SELECTION CRITERIA

The City is seeking a highly qualified Architect, experienced in providing the professional programming, planning and design services outlined in the Scope of Work. The required qualifications may be demonstrated in the experience of Respondent's team members. A Selection Committee composed of representatives from BSD and HPL will review the SOQs. The criteria used to evaluate SOQs will include the following (Maximum possible score is 75 points):

- A. Completeness of submittal response: SOQ follows the prescribed format and contains all information requested in RFQ (maximum 5 points).
- B. Strong, established, and proven working relationships among team members, as indicated in Item 6 on Form 255 and described further in Section 3 Narrative (maximum 5 points).
- C. Experience in similar or relevant projects by team members, as shown in Forms 254 and 255 and Project Briefs (maximum 10 points).
- D. Experience in similar or relevant projects by individuals who would be assigned to this project, as shown in Forms 254 and 255 (maximum 10 points).
- E. Project experience by firms and individuals with the City or other governmental agencies or institution, as shown in Forms 254 and 255 and Project Briefs (maximum 5 points).
- F. A suitably-sized staff to meet the peak phases of work, as shown in Item 4 on Form 255 (maximum 5 points).

March 17, 2006 Page 4 of 9

- G. Track record of meeting deadlines and working within a budget, as described in Section 3 Narrative, as shown on Project Briefs, and as mentioned in testimonials (maximum 10 points).
- H. Demonstrable systems and processes in-house for insuring quality and timely performance on projects, as explained in Section 3 Narrative (maximum 5 points).
- I. Understanding of City's needs and appropriateness of Team's approach to this project, as described in Section 3 Narrative (maximum 15 points).
- J. Applicability and quality of references, as provided in Section 4 Testimonials (maximum 5 points).

VI. SUBMITTALS

Sealed submittals are required. <u>Submittals shall be delivered to City Secretary</u> of the City of Houston, in the Margaret Westerman Building (a.k.a. City Hall Annex), Public Level, 900 Bagby Street, Houston, TX 77002, at or before 2:00 p.m., local time, <u>Monday</u>, <u>April 17, 2006.</u> Late submittals will <u>not</u> be accepted for any reason. All submittals must be labeled on the outside with the Respondent's name and the name of the project.

Six copies of the SOQ are to be addressed to:

Phil Golembiewski, P.E. City Engineer Building Services Department

To enable the City to efficiently evaluate the SOQs, Respondents are urged to strictly follow the required format in preparing their SOQ.

Each copy of the SOQ shall be bound using GBC or other semi-permanent binding method, to ensure that pages are not lost. Each copy shall be no more than one-half inch thick. Pages shall be no larger than letter-size 8 ½" x 11" or, if folded to that dimension, twice letter size 11" x 17". Each section (defined below) shall be separated by a tabbed divider. Elaborate covers, binding, dividers, and the like are not required nor wanted. **NOTE:** One of the six copies shall be labeled "ORIGINAL" on the outside cover and shall contain original documents where specified below.

Each SOQ shall be organized in the following order:

A. **Outside Cover and/or first page:** Shall contain the name of the SOQ ("Statement of Qualifications for Architectural Services, Tactical Operations Facilities"), the name of the Respondent, and the submittal date. Remember to label the one copy, with original documents in it, "ORIGINAL" on the cover.

March 17, 2006 Page 5 of 9

B. **Table of Contents:** The next page shall be a table of contents.

C. Section 1 Divider (Letters and Forms)

- 1. Transmittal Letter: The first page following the divider shall be a <u>one-page</u> letter transmitting the SOQ to Phil Golembiewski, P.E., City Engineer, Building Services Department. The transmittal letter shall say that the signer of the document is authorized by the Respondent to sign the document. The transmittal letter shall contain the names of all firms proposed for the Team. At least one copy of the transmittal letter shall contain the original signature of a partner, principal or officer of Respondent.
- 2. MWBE Letter (s): Following the transmittal letter shall be a one-page letter from each City-certified MWBE firm included on the Team, indicating that firm's desire to be included on the Team and indicating a general statement of the scope of services that firm will perform if Team is selected. NOTE: The scope of services proposed by the firm must match the service for which that firm is certified by the City. At least one copy of each of these letters shall contain the original signature of a partner, principal or officer of the MWBE firm.
- 3. Copy of Conflict of Interest Questionnaire (Texas Ethics Commission Form CIQ found at www.ethics.state.tx.us/forms/CIQ.pdf): Per House Bill 914, effective 1/1/06, original form shall be filed with City of Houston's Records Administrator (Ms. Anna Russell, City Secretary, 900 Bagby, First Floor, Houston, Texas 77002). Respondents shall include a copy of the form that was submitted to the City Secretary as part of the SOQ package. Any questions about filling out this form should be directed to Respondent's attorney.

D. Section 2 Divider (Team Organization & Experience)

- List of Team Members: On one page, list the Team member firms along with the primary responsibilities (e.g. Structural Engineer, MEP Engineer, etc.) they will have on the Team. Please indicate the lead firm. It is a requirement of the City that all SOQs will indicate the lead firm proposed for the project and that firm must agree to maintain a Houston office during the term of the Contract.
- 2. Organization Chart: The next page shall be a simple organization chart of the Team, showing the reporting structure of the people proposed to do the work.
- 3. SF-255, Architect-Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire for Specific Project: Include a completed SF-255 for the proposed Team. Include all Team members under Block 6 and include a completed SF-254 (A-E and

March 17, 2006 Page 6 of 9

Related Services Questionnaire) for each Team member. Under Block 9, list all work performed for <u>any</u> government agency.

- 4. Project Brief: The Respondent shall select three projects from Block 8 of the SF-255, to highlight, as best representing the Team's project experience, and provide a briefing sheet for each. Each briefing sheet shall be <u>one-page</u> and should contain information, which shows the capability of the proposed Team to provide the range of services that are required by this project. The work described must have been performed within the past eight years. At least one of the projects shall be for \$3 million, or more, in construction cost and for a governmental entity. Minimally, each brief shall contain:
 - a. Project Name and Location
 - b. Year Project Completed (or "In Design" or "Under Construction") and brief explanation regarding steps Respondent's team member took to maintain project schedule
 - c. Short Description of Services Provided
 - d. Name of Lead Design Firm and <u>current</u> telephone number and/or email address
 - e. Name of Client and/or Owner/Operator and <u>current</u> telephone number and/or email address
 - Name of General Contractor and <u>current</u> telephone number and/or email address
 - g. Construction Cost and information regarding adherance to Owner's budget.
 - 5. Graphic Information: If the Respondent includes photographs, drawings or other graphical information about any of the three projects, the back of the project brief, the facing page or a separate page may be utilized for this purpose. Photographs or other graphical information used to illustrate these projects may also be incorporated into the one-page brief sheet itself.

E. Section 3 Divider (Narrative)

- 1. *Narrative:* The Respondent shall provide no more than four pages of narrative that describes:
 - Team's track record of working within a budget (provide specific examples);

March 17, 2006 Page 7 of 9

- Team's track record of meeting deadlines (provide specific examples)
- Team's track record of quality performance (provide specific examples);
- Team's experience with using LEED™ standards and the certification process;
- Team's understanding of the City's needs and Team's approach to this project.

F. Section 4 Divider (Quality of Service)

 Testimonials: Respondents may include no more than three one-page letters from clients and/or general contractors that they have worked with on previous projects. At least one copy of these letters shall contain the original signature of the person writing the letter. Letters should comment on budget and/or scheduling experiences and qualitative matters related to services received from the Respondent or Team members.

VII. ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS, NOTIFICATIONS AND INFORMATION

- A. Respondents shall not offer any gratuities, favors, or anything of monetary value to any official or employee of the City for the purposes of influencing this selection. Any attempt by the Respondent to influence the selection process by any means, other than disclosure of qualifications and credentials through the proper channels, shall be grounds for exclusion from the selection process.
- B. Respondents who provide false or misleading information, whether intentional or not, in any documents presented to the City for consideration in the selection process shall be excluded. Any false or misleading information in these documents would, in effect, render the entire document suspect and therefore useless.
- C. INTERVIEWS After the screening of SOQs, Respondents will be notified, in writing, of their status in the selection process. If interviews are needed, short-listed Respondents may be given additional information for interviews. These interviews will relate less to the past experience and qualifications already detailed in the submittal than to identification of the Respondent's program approach and to an appraisal of the people who would be directly involved in the project.
- D. INQUIRIES Please do not contact the City during the selection process to make inquiries about the progress of this selection process. Respondents will be contacted when it is appropriate to do so.

March 17, 2006 Page 8 of 9

- E. COST OF SOQS The City will not be responsible for costs incurred by anyone in the submittal of qualifications or for any costs incurred prior to the execution of a formal contract.
- F. CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS This RFQ is not to be construed as a contract or as a commitment of any kind. If this RFQ results in a contract offer by the City; a specific scope of work, fees, insurance coverages, and other contractual matters will be determined during contract negotiations. To ensure that the appropriate staff is assigned to the project the City may make the inclusion of a "key persons clause" a part of the contract negotiations.
- G. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION All responses shall be held confidential from other parties by the City to the extent allowable by law until after the selection process is completed. Respondents should be aware that at the completion of the selection process the contents of their SOQs are subject to the provisions of the Texas Public Information Act and may be made public. CONFIDENTIAL or SENSITIVE information should not be included in the SOQ.
- H. CITY POLICIES & ORDINANCES Respondents should be aware of and therefore, familiar with all pertinent City of Houston Ordinances and policies which will relate to contracting with the City. More detailed guidance is available on request. The following is a partial list of relevant subjects:
 - 1. Equal Employment Opportunity
 - 2. MWBE Goal of 24% participation
 - 3. City of Houston Fair Campaign Ordinance
 - 4. Mayor's Drug Detection and Deterrence Policy and Procedures
 - 5. City Contracts and Indebtedness to Taxing Authorities
 - 6. Insurance requirements
- I. The City of Houston reserves the right to (1) evaluate the qualifications submitted; (2) waive any irregularities therein; (3) select Respondents for the submittal of more detailed information; (4) accept any submittal or portion of a submittal; and/or (5) reject any or all Respondents submitting qualifications, should it be deemed in the City's best interest.

Phil Golembiewski, P.E. City Engineer Building Services Department

March 17, 2006 Page 9 of 9